

The Hongkong Telegraph.

No. 2546.

TUESDAY, MAY 27, 1890.

SIX DOLLARS
PER QUARTER

Banks.

THE NEW ORIENTAL BANK CORPORATION, LIMITED.
AUTHORISED CAPITAL £2,000,000
PAID-UP CAPITAL £50,000.

HEAD OFFICE 40, Threadneedle Street,
West End Office 25, Cockspur Street.

BRANCHES IN INDIA, CHINA, JAPAN
AND THE COLONIES.

THE BANK RECEIVES MONEY ON DEPOSIT,
BUYS AND SELLS BILLS OF EXCHANGE,
ISSUES LETTERS OF CREDIT, FORWARDS BILLS FOR
COLLECTION, AND TRANSMITS BANKING AND
AGENCY BUSINESS GENERALLY, ON TERMS TO BE HAD
OR APPLICATION.

INTEREST ALLOWED ON DEPOSITS:
Fixed for 12 months, 5 per cent. per annum.

ON CURRENT DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS
2 per cent. per annum of the daily balance.

GEO. W. F. PLAYFAIR,
Manager. [10]

HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI
BANKING CORPORATION.

PAID-UP CAPITAL £7,500,000
RESERVE FUND 4,600,000
RESERVE LIABILITY OF
PROPRIETORS 7,500,000.

COURT OF DIRECTORS:
Chairman—H. L. DAILEYMPLE, Esq.
Deputy Chairman—J. S. MOSE, Esq.
T. E. DAVIES, Esq. S. C. MICHAELSEN, Esq.
W. H. FORBES, Esq. Hon. A. P. MCEWEN.
H. HOPPIUS, Esq. L. POESECKER, Esq.
Hon. J. J. KESWICK. D. R. SASSON, Esq.
A. MCCONACHIE, Esq.

CHIEF MANAGER,
HONGKONG—T. JACKSON, Esq.
MANAGER.

SHANGHAI—JOHN WALTER, Esq.
LONDON BANKERS—LONDON AND
COUNTY BANK.

HONGKONG—INTEREST ALLOWED
ON CURRENT DEPOSIT ACCOUNT
AT THE RATE OF 2 PER CENT. PER ANNUM ON
THE DAILY BALANCE.

ON FIXED DEPOSITS:
For 3 months, 3 per cent. per annum.
For 6 months, 4 per cent. per annum.
For 12 months, 5 per cent. per annum.

LOCAL BILLS DISCOUNTED.

CREDITS granted on approved securities
and every description of BANKING and
EXCHANGE business transacted.

DRAFFTS granted on London, and the chief
commercial places in Europe, India, Australia,
America, China and Japan.

T. JACKSON,
Chief Manager.

Hongkong, 13th May, 1890. [8]

RULES OF THE HONGKONG SAVINGS BANK.

THE BUSINESS of the above BANK
will be conducted by the HONGKONG
AND SHANGHAI BANKING
CORPORATION, on their premises in
Hongkong. Business Hours on WEEK-
DAYS, 10 to 1; SATURDAYS, 10 to 1.

SUMS LESS THAN \$1, OR MORE THAN
\$250 at one time will not be received. No
Depositor may deposit more than \$4,500
in any one year.

DEPOSITORS in the SAVINGS BANK,
having \$100, or more, at their credit may
at their option transfer the same to the HONG-
KONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING
CORPORATION on fixed deposit for 12
months at 5 per cent. per annum interest.

INTEREST at the rate of 3 1/2 per
annum will be allowed to Depositors on
their daily balances.

EACH DEPOSITOR will be supplied gratis
with a PASS-BOOK, which must be presented
with each payment or withdrawal.
Depositors must not make any entries them-
selves in their PASS-BOOKS, but should send
them to be written up at least twice a year,
about the beginning of January, and
beginning of July.

CORRESPONDENCE as to the Business
of the Bank, if marked ON HONGKONG
SAVINGS BANK BUSINESS, will be
forwarded free by the various British Post
Offices in Hongkong and China.

WITHDRAWALS may be made demand-
and the personal attendance of the
Depositor or his duly appointed Agent, and
the production of his PASS-BOOK, are
necessary.

FOR THE HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI
BANKING CORPORATION.

T. JACKSON,
Chief Manager.

Hongkong, 13th May, 1890. [9]

GRIFFITH'S

NEW PHOTOGRAPHIC STUDIO,
No. 2, Duddell Street,
(Between the New Oriental Bank, and
Mr. Lammer's Auction Rooms),
Entrance from Duddell Street or Ice House St.

M. R. GRIFFITH'S STUDIO is open daily
from 8 A.M. to 5 P.M. for producing
First-class PHOTOGRAPHIC PORTRAITURE in all
the Newest styles. Views of Hongkong and the
Continent, with choice illustrations of Chinese
life and character, always ready.

Portraits enlarged to life size and painted
in Oils or Water Colours by First-class
Artists. Miniatures on Ivory, and all kinds of
reproductions.

Hongkong, 2nd April, 1890. [143]

S I E N T I N G,
SURGEON, DENTIST,
No. 10, D'AGUILAR STREET,
TERMS VERY MODERATE,
Consultation free.

Hongkong, 7th March, 1890. [144]

Intimations.

HONGKONG TRADING CO., LTD.

(LATE THE HALL & HOLTZ CO-OPERATIVE COMPANY, LIMITED.)

1890 SUMMER SEASON, 1890.

TAILORING.

WE are now showing a large and varied assortment of HIGH CLASS MATERIALS for Gentlemen's Summer Wear, comprising:—

Fine Undyed Angola Tweed, Tennis Flannels in all colors, Fancy Striped Washing Cashmere, White and Navy Blue Serge, Striped and Checked Flannels, Cricketing Flannels, &c., &c.

Twill Cashmere Coatings (a speciality).

OUTFITTING.

Sun Helmets, newest Shapes, Straw Hats, Terai Hats, Lebourn Hats, Canvas Shoes, Russia Leather Shoes,

Lisle Thread and Silk Hosiery, Bath Towels, Bathing Drawers, &c., and every requisite for Gentlemen.

1890 SUMMER SEASON, 1890.

HONGKONG TRADING CO., LTD.

(LATE THE HALL & HOLTZ CO. LTD.)

Hongkong, 23rd May, 1890. [135]

KELLY & WALSH, LTD.

JUST LANDED.

SWEET CAPORALS.

KINNEY'S STRAIGHT CUTS.

FULL DRESS STRAIGHT CUTS

KELLY & WALSH, LIMITED.

QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL, HONGKONG.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [17]

MARINE HOTEL

HONGKONG.

THE Undersigned begs to notify the Public of Hongkong and the Coast Ports, that he will OPEN THE MARINE HOTEL, on or about the 1st May.

THIS FIRST-CLASS HOTEL is situated on the Praya, West, opposite the Old P. & O. Wharf, and is newly built after the designs of the Largest European Hotels—the BEDROOMS, BATH-ROOMS, &c., are commodious, well Ventilated and well Furnished, and are suitable for Single or Married Persons. The DINING ROOM is large and looks on the Harbour.

The TABLE D'HOTE will be supplied with the best the market can provide.

The BAR and BILLIARD ROOMS are on the Ground Floor, and will be fitted up in superior style, ENGLISH and AMERICAN TABLES.

WINE and LIQUORS of the best qualities and Brands only will be supplied.

The Undersigned therefore begs the patronage of the Public, hoping to give every satisfaction.

JAS. EDWARDS, Proprietor.

Hongkong, 21st March, 1890. [463]

W. BREWER.

HAS JUST RECEIVED

A GOOD stock of Silver mounted Briar Wood Pipes in cases; Travelling Copying Presses. Great variety of bindings in Prayer Books and Church Services. New Framed Pictures. Novelties in Photo Frames. Immense stock of Tennis Bats including the new black and red Gut Racquets. New Banjo Music. New Waltz.

W. BREWER,
UNDER HONGKONG HOTEL.

Hongkong, 16th May, 1890. [156]

STOCK TAKING SALE.

REDUCED PRICES.

FOR CASH ONLY.
TROPICAL TWEED COATS & PANTS, £13.50
DRESS SUITS from £20.
LINEN, DRILL AND DUCK SUITS.
FLANNEL SERGE AND MERINO.
FRENCH CAMBRIC printed SHIRTING.

Hongkong, 17th May, 1890. [150]

WATERPROOF COATS & INVERNESS CAPEs from £5.
Leggings and over SHOES.
English-made BOOTS and SHOES.
UMBRELLAS, SUMMER UNDERCLOTHING
in all materials, HANDKERCHIEFS, &c.

ROBERT LANG & CO. [139]

W. BREWER,
UNDER HONGKONG HOTEL.

Hongkong, 16th May, 1890. [156]

REDUCED PRICES.

CLARETS A very choice and large selection from £3.50 @ £70 per case.

HOCKS—BURGUNDIES—CHAMPAGNES.

ESTD, 1864.

CALDBECK, MACGREGOR & CO.

WINE AND SPIRIT MERCHANTS.

ALE AND STOUT MERCHANTS.

Hongkong—18, Queen's Road.

FURNISHING DEPARTMENT.

SPECIAL NOTICE.

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO. have just received their first deliveries of Lace and Coloured Curtains & "Art" Muslins for Summer use, which they are offering at extraordinarily low prices.

Nottingham Lace Curtains one, Thousand pairs to select from. From £2 per pair.

A splendid selection of "Art" Muslins from 25 cents per yard.—"Art" Muslin Curtains, Electric Cross Striped Curtains, Fringed Curtains, Filled Curtains, The "Portland" Curtain, colored, the "Kensington" Curtain.

Send for Illustrated Catalogue. One hundred different patterns in stock. An inspection is respectfully invited. All last year's patterns greatly reduced.

Also an entire New Stock of Drapery and Household Linens, Tiffis and Tea Cloths, Antimacassars, D'oyleys, Sideboard Cloths &c., &c., &c.

A new shipment of Brass and Iron Bedsteads and Spring Mattresses in every size.

Every description of Household Furniture, Estimates and designs free.

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO.

Hongkong, 16th April, 1890.

Intimations.

BY APPOINTMENT.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LTD.
(Established A.D. 1841).

THE HONGKONG

DISPENSARY,

HONGKONG.

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL DRUGGISTS.

ANALYTICAL,

FAMILY,

DISPENSING,

GENERAL

CHEMISTS.

PERFUMERS,

PATENT MEDICINE PROPRIETORS.

SEEDSMEN,

WINE AND SPIRIT MERCHANTS.

CIGAR IMPORTERS, &c., &c.

Manufacturers of

AERATED WATERs

By Steam Machinery.

PHOTOGRAPHIC DRY PLATES.

Apparatus, Chemicals, and Materials of all

kinds suitable for Amateurs and Professionals,

at Moderate Prices.

We beg to state that we import Drugs,

Chemicals, and Goods of every kind of the best

description, only no other quality is kept in

stock.

Our long experience and intimate acquaintance

with the Trade, and the best sources of

supply, enable us to purchase direct from the

Producers on the very best terms, and thus

give us an advantage which enables us to offer

our constituents the benefit of a considerable

reduction in the price of all our Specialities as</

Intimations.

DAKIN BROS. OF CHINA,
L I M I T E D,
C H E M I S T S.

AERATED WATER MANUFACTURERS.
WINE & SPIRIT IMPORTERS.

CHAMPAGNE LEMOINE,
VIN BRUT, CUVEE ROYALE.

We are sol' Agents in China for the sale of this splendid wine.

It is supplied regularly to the households of H.R.H. The Prince of Wales, H.R.H. The Duke of Edinburgh, to most of the leading Clubs in London and the provinces, and is also largely patronized at the military and naval stations at home and abroad.

It is a Champagne of the highest character, absolutely natural, without either artificial sweetness or dryness, and we can confidently recommend a trial to all judges of good wine.

Per Case 1 dozen qts. \$22; per bottle \$2.

" " 2 " " \$13; " " \$1.

(Telephone No. 60.)

Nos. 22 & 24, QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL,
Hongkong, 20th May, 1890. [52]

WINES AND SPIRITS.

BY APPOINTMENT.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LTD
(ESTABLISHED A.D. 1841.)
HONGKONG.

WE invite attention to the following old landed Brands, all of which are of excellent quality and good value for the money. The same being specially selected by our London House, and bought direct from the most noted Shippers, are imported in wood and bottled by ourselves, thus enabling us to supply the best growths at moderate prices.

In ordering it is only necessary to state the name and quantity of Wine or Spirit wanted, and initial letter for quality desired.

Orders through Local Post or by Telegram receive prompt attention.

PORTS. (For Invalids and general use.)

Per doz. Per Case.

1 doz. Per doz.

Quarts. Pints.

| | | |
|--|------|--------|
| A Alto Douro, good quality, Green Capsule | \$10 | \$1.00 |
| B Vintage, Superior quality, Red Capsule | 12 | 1.10 |
| C Fine Old Vintages, superior quality, Black Seal Capsule | 14 | 1.25 |
| D Very Fine Old Vintages, extra superior, "Violet" Capsule (Old Bottled) | 18 | 1.50 |

| | | |
|--|------|------|
| SHERRIES. | | |
| A Delicate Pale Dry, dinner wine, Green Capsule | 6 | 0.60 |
| B Superior Pale Dry, dinner wine, Green Seal Capsule | 7.50 | 0.75 |
| C Manzanilla, Pale Natural Sherry, White Capsule | 10 | 1.00 |
| CC Superior Old Dry, Pale Natural Sherry, Red Seal Capsule | 10 | 1.00 |
| D Very Superior Old Pale Dry, choice old Wine, White Seal Capsule | 14 | 1.25 |
| E Extra Superior Old Pale Dry, very finest quality, Black Seal Capsule (Old Bottled) | 14 | 1.50 |

Per doz. Per Case.

1 doz. Per doz.

Quarts. Pints.

| | | |
|--|------|--------|
| A Superior Breakfast Claret, Red Capsule | \$4 | \$1.50 |
| B St. Estephe, Red Capsule | 4.50 | 5.00 |
| C St. Julien | 7 | 7.50 |
| D La Rose | 11 | 12.00 |

Per doz. Per Case.

1 doz. Per doz.

Quarts. Pints.

| | | |
|--|------|--------|
| CLARETS. | | |
| A Superior Breakfast Claret, Red Capsule | \$12 | \$1.10 |
| B Superior Very Old Cognac, Red Capsule | 14 | 1.25 |
| C Very Old Liqueur Cognac, Red Capsule | 18 | 1.50 |
| D Hennessy's Finest Very Old Liqueur Cognac, 18/2 Vint., Red Capsule | 24 | 2.00 |

| | | |
|---|----|------|
| SCOTCH WHISKY. | | |
| A Thorne's Blend, White Capsule | 8 | 0.75 |
| B Watson's Glenorchy Mellow Blend, Blue Capsule with Name and Trade Mark | 8 | 0.75 |
| C Watson's Abelour-Glenlivet, Red Capsule, with Name and Trade Mark | 8 | 0.75 |
| D Watson's H K D Blend of the Finest Scotch Malt Whiskies, Violet Capsule | 10 | 1.00 |
| E Watson's Very Old Liqueur Scotch Whisky, Gold Capsule | 12 | 1.10 |

| | | |
|--|----|------|
| IRISH WHISKY. | | |
| A John Jameson's Old, Green Capsule | 8 | 0.75 |
| B John Jameson's Fine Old, Green Capsule | 10 | 1.00 |
| C John Jameson's Very Fine Old, Green Capsule | 12 | 1.10 |
| GENUINE BOURBON WHISKY, fine old, Red Capsule, with Name | 10 | 1.00 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|
| GIN. | | |
| A Fine Old Tom, White Capsule | 4.50 | 0.40 |
| B Fine Unsweetened, White Capsule | 4.50 | 0.40 |
| C Fine A. V. H. Geneva | 5.25 | 0.50 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| RUM. | | |
| A Finest Old Jamaica, Violet Capsule | 12 | 1.00 |
| B Good Leeward Island | \$1.50 per Gallon | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| LIQUEURS. | | |
| Benedictine Maraschino Curacao Herring's Cherry Cordial Chartreuse Dr. Siegent's Angostura Bitters, &c. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| NOTICES TO CORRESPONDENTS. | | |
| It is requested that all communications relating to Advertisements be sent to "The Manager, Hongkong Telegraph," and not to the Editor. | | |
| Letters on Editorial matters to be sent to "The Editor" and to individual members of the staff. | | |
| Communications intended for publication must be accompanied by the name and address of the writer, not necessarily for publication; but as every effort will be made to return to the writer the copy of the paper. | | |
| While the Editor of the Hongkong Telegraph will always give a full discussion to correspondents of all questions affecting public interests, it must be distinctly understood that the Editor does not in any way hold himself responsible for opinions thus expressed. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| TO ADVERTISERS. | | |
| Advertisers are requested to forward all notices intended for insertion in that day's issue, not later than Three o'clock so as to retain the early publication of the paper. | | |
| Advertisements and Subscriptions which are not ordered for a fixed period will be continued until countermanded. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| The Hongkong Telegraph has the largest circulation of any English newspaper in the Far East, and therefore the best position for Advertising. Terms can be learnt at the offices. | | |
| The Hongkong Telegraph's number at the Telephone Office Exchange is No. 1. | | |

BIRTH.
At 39, Broadway Road, Shanghai, on the 20th May, the wife of W. H. Lunt, of a son.

DEATH.
Drowned at Amoy, on the 24th instant, DAVIE, youngest son of the late R. S. Orr, Banker, Helensburgh. [813]

THE steamer *Straits of Belle Isle*, which arrived here-to-day from Batoum, brought a consignment of 74,000 cases of kerosine oil for Messrs Adamson, Bell & Co.

It is notified in Saturday's *Gazette* that Mr. William Cheetham assumed the duties of Executive Engineer in the Public Works department on the 22nd inst.

LIEUT.-COL. Donald G. Anderson, of the Northamptonshire Regiment, having completed six years' service as a regimental Lieut.-Col., has been placed on half-day.

HONGKONG, TUESDAY, MAY 27, 1890.

TELEGRAMS.

STANLEY ON ENGLISH JOURNALISM.

LONDON, May 15th.

Stanley, when receiving the freedom of the City at the Guildhall, censured English journalism which he said was the sole obstacle to England taking over and settling the Congo and East Africa.

THE SILVER BILL.

May 16th.

The debate on the Silver Bill continues. The general feeling of Congress, notably in the Senate, is in favour of the free coining of silver

LOCAL TAXATION.

The Local Taxation Bill, containing clauses empowering the County Councils to negotiate the withdrawal of publicans' licenses, and to compensate the holders, has been passed in the House of Commons by majority of seventy-three.

TROUBLE IN TURKEY.

May 25th.

Five Turkish officers have assaulted the wife and daughter of the Russian dragoman at Stamboul. Russian sailors rescued the ladies and secured their assailants, who are confined in the embassy, and the Russian Ambassador refuses to surrender them.

THE UNITED STATES TARIFF BILL.

The House of Representatives has voted the tariff bill,

LOSS OF THE "DACCIA."

SUEZ, May 19th.

The British India Co.'s steamship *Daccia* ran on the *Dædalus* reef on the 16th instant and left, arrived at Yokohama on the 23rd inst., and left for this port to day.

COLONEL DENBY, United States Minister to China, is now on a tour of inspection of the American consulates at the treaty ports. He arrived at Shanghai in the *Swallow* on the 19th inst. and will come south in the old paddle-wheeler *Monocacy*.

LOSS OF THE "DACCIA."

SUEZ, May 19th.

The British India Co.'s steamship *Daccia* ran on the *Dædalus* reef on the 16th instant and left, arrived at Yokohama on the 23rd inst., and left for this port to day.

POLITICAL CRISIS IN JAPAN.
(Special to N. C. Daily News.)

YOKOHAMA, May 19th.

Admiral Viscount Enomoto, Minister of Education, has resigned and is succeeded by Mr. Yoshikawa Akimasa, Vice-Minister for Home Affairs; Mr. Iwamura Michitoshi, Minister of Agriculture and Commerce, has resigned and is succeeded by Mr. Matsu Munemitsu, late Minister to Washington. Count Yamagata relinquishes the posts of Minister of State and Minister for Home Affairs and is succeeded by Count Saigo, whose post at the Admiralty is taken by Viscount Kabayama.

[The accession of Count Saigo to the post of Prime Minister is a triumph for the Satsuma clan, but it is doubtful how long he will be able to retain the post.—ED.]

(amongst other things) to carry on the business of timber merchants at Langulmanoe in the said Islands.

3. In order that the said Company could legally do business in the said Islands the registration of the said Company in Manila was necessary; such registration was not effected until the 18th January 1890.

4. In answer to the 9th paragraph of the said petition the defendant denies that the said shares were tendered to his brokers or agents on the 31st December last. The defendant had no brokers or agents in the said transaction. The defendant was in the colony on the 31st December last and the said shares were not tendered to him until the 6th January following.

5. In answer to the 6th paragraph of the said petition the defendant denies that the plaintiff had been unable to sell or dispose of the said shares.

Mr. Francis, continuing, said that the Company in respect to whose shares that action had been taken, was formed in Hongkong in the early part of last year, to take over as a going concern the business of H. G. Brown & Co., carried on in the Philippines and holding licenses to cut timber on large expanses of territory. The prospectus was issued, and allotments made, privately, but the Company was not registered until the 16th May, 1889. Before then this contract was made, in the usual form of a broker's note. The share certificates, however, were not ready on due date, the 30th June, and when, on the 29th June, Mr. Gorham wrote to Mr. Mody intimating that he was ready to take delivery, the latter, in the course of his reply, said: "Of course, as you knew when you entered into the contract, the shares were not then issued, but as you are aware they are to be issued in a few days, and I will then forward them to you." Meanwhile, all benefits accruing to them will, of course, belong to you." On the 1st July Gorham wrote agreeing to pursue his advantage, adding: "It might so happen that some day the position might be revised. You might have shares to hand over when it would be inconvenient for me to receive them. Then would say—Gorham did not press me to deliver; I will not press him to take up." After the receipt of that there were some interviews between the parties, and an arrangement was made by which Gorham practically waived his objections to the non-delivery of the scrip at the time—both parties apparently laboring under the misapprehension as to the law, and thinking the actual shares would need to be handed over. On the 6th August Mody wrote:

Hongkong, Aug. 6th, 1889.

My dear Gorham.—According to our verbal arrangements re H. G. Brown & Co., Ltd., states, I now write to inform you that the scrip has been issued, and please note that I am carrying 100 (one hundred) of these shares on your account at \$105 per share to be taken delivery of by you on or before 31st December, 1889, with interest at 7 per cent. per annum from this date, which I shall thank you to confirm.

Yours truly,

H. N. MODY.

That letter did not seem to be answered until the 28th, when Gorham replied:

Hongkong, August 28th, 1889.

My dear Mody.—With reference to your note of the 6th instant, mine of July 1st, and our conversation since, I confirm the arrangement that, in consideration of my not repudiating the contract for the sale and purchase of the 100 Brown shares, you are carrying those shares for me until the 31st December, unless I should call upon you for delivery at a sooner date, but I was you to understand that in no case will I take delivery of these shares unless the Company shall have been duly registered in Madrid according to Spanish law.

Yours truly,

C. L. GORHAM.

To that letter there seemed to be no response, and it must be taken that Mody accepted what might be called the amended proposal, without altering it at all. He (Mr. Francis) thought that the whole case rested on the interpretation of those letters. The correspondence was resumed about the end of December. Messrs. Lightwood and Scott, who had been the brokers in the matter, writing to Mody on the 13th to ask for instructions. Gorham was at that time in Hongkong, and did not return until late in the afternoon of the 31st. Mody did not hear of his arrival until about the 6th January last, on which day he sent the following:

Hongkong, 6th January, 1890.

My dear Gorham.—I send you enclosed herein 100 shares of H. G. Brown & Co., Limited, which according to arrangements were to have been taken up at the end of last month, but were held over on account of your absence from the Colony; will you please send me a cheque for \$10,808.10 as per memo. and oblige.

Yours truly,

H. N. MODY.

The same day Gorham replied:

Hongkong, January 6th, 1890.

My dear Mody.—I return you herewith the 100 Brown shares which you enclosed me, and which I have no intention of taking up.

Yours truly,

C. L. GORHAM.

The following day Mody wrote:

Hongkong, 7th January, 1890.

My dear Gorham.—Your very curt note of the 6th inst. rather takes me by surprise, as you give no reason whatever for your refusal to perform your contract of the 13th March, extended and confirmed by your letter of the 28th August last. I can only conclude that you have forgotten the whole circumstances of the case.

You were absent from the Colony on the 31st ultimo, and your broker was asked to take up the shares on that date, whose reply was that he had no instructions from you.

I must now request you again to take up these shares and thereby avoid any unpleasantness between us.

Yours truly,

H. N. MODY.

On the 8th Gorham wrote:

Hongkong, January 8th, 1890.

My dear Mody.—In reply to your note of yesterday's date in which you demand my reasons for not taking up the 100 Brown shares, I beg to say that I have no objection to stating some of them, although they are well known to you.

In the first place our contract expired on the 30th June, and on that day I demanded the shares which you could not hand over.

Then I wrote you on July 1st a note in which I intended to convey the fact that I did not intend to hold you answerable for your failure to deliver and that you might consider the transaction finished. A day or two after I learned greatly to my surprise that you and your partner had not only misconstrued my note but had shown it to others as proof that I intended to extend the contract until such time as was convenient for you to deliver. Then I called at your office to explain personally that I had no intention of carrying the contract further, and, as you are aware, through the persuasions of your partner I agreed to allow you to extend the time until the 31st Dec., but it was

on condition that on the date you were required to furnish me with absolute proof that the forms of Spanish law had then been complied with in respect to registration.

This you did not do then, nor have you done it since; you did not even tender me the shares until late in the afternoon of the 6th instant you say in your note I was absent from the colony on the 31st ultimo. It is true I did not drive until 5 o'clock on that day, "although I made great haste to get here and left unfinished business in order to do so." I wired Mr. Van Buren when I was coming, and he answered all enquiries, but you were not among them.

You say you tendered the shares to my broker, but you know full well that, even admitting that brokers were concerned in the transaction after the signing of the original contract, they certainly had no concern in it after the 20th June. You not only told them the matter was a private one between ourselves but you gave them to understand that I had taken up the scrip when it was issued.

You know also that you followed me out of your office the day I called and requested me not to mention to them anything about the extension of the contract but to allow them to think I had taken up the shares. If you are under the impression that I had forgotten the terms I can easily remind you of the reasons you then gave me not wishing it to get out that I was not taking delivery. You remember among other things you then told me, the user to which you would put your money at that time.

Therefore you were fully aware the gentlemen in question were in no wise my agents in the matter, and that they had no authority to act for me in any way. They showed their wisdom in informing you and in not allowing you to shove off the shares on them.

I pass over your threat of unpleasantness—it is no doubt unpleasant for you that I should venture to hold you to the terms of your compact, and I regret that I must do so, but I believe I am not altogether solitary in the matter of unpleasantness, and I fail to recognize you as absolute dictator in the affairs of this colony.

Yours truly,

C. L. GORHAM.

On the 9th a lawyer's letter was sent to Gorham. The plaintiff's position in the case was this:—The Company was formed for the purpose of taking over a going concern in Manila. One of the terms of the contract, as shown by the Articles of Association, was that the concern was to be taken over as from the 1st January, 1889, and was to be registered so as to obtain legal recognition in the Philippines. It was so registered, on the 16th May. No question would arise as to the original contract, which was to have been completed on the 30th June, when the plaintiff contended, as a matter of fact it did terminate. For the moment Gorham seemed inclined to repudiate his liability, and give trouble, possibly causing litigation, but an understanding was come to, for mutual convenience, by which Gorham bound himself to still take the shares, but as it was possible that he might not have the money when the scrip was issued in August, Mody was to carry them over until the end of December, if required. It would probably be unnecessary to go into the conversations at which verbal agreements were come to, as they were followed by letters detailing the agreements. It was clear that the only stipulation was that the Company should be registered at Madrid. The object and place of the Company was common knowledge, and other circumstances which occurred about that time rendered it a matter of comment that every business carried on in the Philippines had to be registered under the Commercial Law of Spain, previous to which the Articles of Association provided to have something done to them in Madrid. Mr. Gorham therefore specially stipulated that the Company should be registered in Madrid, in order that its position should be assured. There was no serious dispute over the contract except as to the interpretation of that clause. With respect to the question of delivery, the plaintiff's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 31st December was the limit of the period during which Mr. Mody bound himself to the contract. With respect to the interpretation of that clause, the defendant's case was that he tendered them to the only persons whom he knew to be acting for the defendant—Lightwood and Scott—who had previously written asking for instructions. Until the 6th January there was no intimation received that the defendant had returned from Hongkong, and therefore, as no formal delivery was made previously, still, the plaintiff did everything that was necessary. But the plaintiff further contended that delivery was unnecessary—the shares already belonged to Gorham, and were only being carried on for his convenience. The new, the supplementary contract fixed no date for delivery, except that the 3

To-day's
Advertisements.

DOUGLAS STEAM-SHIP COMPANY,
LIMITED.

FOR SWATOW, AMOY & FOOCHOW.

THE Company's Steamship

"HAITAN."

Captain S. Ashton, will be despatched for the above Ports, TO-MORROW, the 28th instant, at 11 A.M., instead of as previously advertised.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DOUGLAS LAPRAIK & Co.,

General Managers.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [182]

STEAM TO SHANGHAI.

THE P. & O. S. N. Co.'s Steamship

"MALWA."

Captain W. J. Nantes will leave for the above place, TO-MORROW, the 28th inst., at DAY-LIGHT.

E. L. WOODIN,
Superintendent.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [183]

FOR YOKOHAMA AND KOBE.

THE Steamship

"LENOX,"

Captain Swinnerton, will be despatched for the above Ports, on the 30th inst.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

ADAMSON, BELL & Co.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [184]

DOUGLAS STEAM-SHIP COMPANY,
LIMITED.

FOR SWATOW, AMOY & TAMSUI.

THE Company's Steamship

"FORMOSA,"

Captain Hall, will be despatched for the above Ports, on THURSDAY, the 29th instant, at 11 A.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DOUGLAS LAPRAIK & Co.,

General Managers.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [185]

FOR SHANGHAI.

THE Steamship

"NINGPO,"

Captain R. Köhler, will be despatched for the above Port, on THURSDAY, the 29th instant, at 4 P.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SIEMSSON & Co.,

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [186]

THE SCOTTISH ORIENTAL STEAMSHIP
COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SWATOW, SINGAPORE AND
BANGKOK.

THE Company's Steamship

"CHIOW FA,"

Captain P. W. Phillips, will be despatched for the above Ports, on SATURDAY, the 31st inst., at NOON.

For Freight or Passage apply to

YUEN FAT HONG,
Agents.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [187]

INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION
COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG, AND
CALCUTTA.

THE Company's Steamship

"KUTSANG,"

Captain Young, will be despatched as above on SATURDAY, the 31st inst., at 3 P.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

JARDINE, MATHESON & Co.,

General Managers.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [188]

HONGKONG RIFLE ASSOCIATION.

THE KWON KWAN YEEN CHAL-

LENCE CUPS, value \$100 and \$100
respectively. Also two Consolation Cups value
\$100 each.

The 1st Stage of the Tenth Competition will
take place on SATURDAY next, the 31st May, at 3 P.M. Commence at the 300 yards.
Entrance Fee 30 cents.

A Launch will leave the P. & O. Wharf at

3.15 P.M. to take over intending Competitors.

The Second Stage will be shot off on Saturday, the 7th June.

C. VIVIAN' LADDIS,
Hon. Secretary.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [189]

TO LET.

"ROSENEATH," (Kowloon) five minutes'

walk from the Ferry. Semi-detached,
contains Spacious Hall, Dining-room, Drawing-
room, three Bed-rooms, two Bath-rooms, Good
Kitchen, Servants' Quarters, etc. etc. With
Furniture Complete, Flower and Vegetable
Gardens, and Lawn Tennis Court. Tenancy
from July 1 to March 31, 1891. For further
particulars, apply to

W. S. MARTEN,
2, Duddell Street.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [190]

THE LABUK PLANTING COMPANY,
LIMITED.

NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS.

THE First Ordinary General MEETING of

the above Company, will be held at the

Hongkong Hotel, on THURSDAY, the 6th of

June, 1890, at 3.30 P.M., for the presentation of

the Report of the General Managers and

Account to 30th April, 1890, and the transac-

tion of any other business that can competently

be brought before an Ordinary General Meeting.

The TRANSFER BOOKS of the Company

will be CLOSED from the 19th instant, to the

5th June, both days inclusive.

TURNER & Co.,

General Managers.

Hongkong, 27th May, 1890. [191]

Amusements.

THEATRE ROYAL,

CITY HALL, HONGKONG.

THURSDAY,

the 29th May.

GRAND COMPLIMENTARY BENEFIT

tendered by

HONGKONG AMATEURS

to the

LADIES OF HUDSON'S SURPRISE
PARTY.

As usual.

Prices.....

As usual.

Seats may be booked at KELLY & WALSH, Ltd.

Full Particulars will be shortly announced.

Hongkong, 24th May, 1890. [192]

Consignees.

PACIFIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

NOTICE.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo per Steamship

"CITY OF RIO DE JANEIRO"

The above Steamer having arrived, Consignees
of Cargo are hereby requested to send in their
Bills of Lading for Countersignature, and to take
immediate delivery of their Goods from along-

side.

Cargo impeding the discharge of the Vessel
will be landed and stored at Consignees' risk
and expense.

CHAS. D. HARMAN,
Agent.

Hongkong, 23rd May, 1890. [193]

Insurances.

THREE IMPORTANT FACTS
ABOUT THE STANDARD LIFE OFFICE

1.—HALF A MILLION STEERING per
annum is being paid in Death claims
year by year.

2.—THE FUNDS IN HAND amount to up-
wards of Six Million and Three-quarter
pounds Sterling and have increased 50
per cent. in the last 15 years.

3.—THE LIVES who die are annually replaced
by more than double the number of fresh
carefully selected lives.

ADAMSON, BELL & Co.,
Agents, Hongkong.

810-3

ATLAS ASSURANCE COMPANY OF
LONDON.

THE Undersigned, having been appointed
Agents for the above Company, are pre-
pared to ACCEPT RISKS against FIRE at
Current Rates.

EDWARD SCHELLHASS & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 12th April, 1890. [194]

FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF 1877
IN HAMBURG.

THE Undersigned having been appointed
Agents for the above Company, are pre-
pared to ACCEPT RISKS against FIRE at
Current Rates.

REUTER, BROCKELMANN & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 1st July, 1889. [195]

GENERAL LIFE AND FIRE
ASSURANCE COMPANY IN
LONDON.

THE Undersigned having been appointed
Agents for the above Company, are pre-
pared to ACCEPT RISKS against FIRE and
LIFE at Current Rates.

REUTER, BROCKELMANN & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 1st July, 1889. [196]

GENERAL NOTICE.

THE ON TAI INSURANCE COMPANY,
(LIMITED).

CAPITAL TAELS 600,000,} \$83,333-33
EQUAL TO 318,000.00

RESERVE FUND 318,000.00

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

LEE SING, Esq. LO YEUR MOON, Esq.

LOU TSO SHU, Esq.

MANAGER.—HO AMEI.

MARINE RISKS on GOODS, &c., taken
at CURRENT RATES to all parts of the
world.

HEAD OFFICE, 8 & 9, PRAYA WEST.

Hongkong, 17th December, 1882. [197]

NOTICE.

THE MAN ON INSURANCE COMPANY,
LIMITED.

CAPITAL SUBSCRIBED.....\$1,000,000.

THE ON TAI INSURANCE COMPANY,
(LIMITED).

CAPITAL TAELS 600,000,} \$83,333-33
EQUAL TO 318,000.00

RESERVE FUND 318,000.00

SUMMER TIME TABLE.

To table effect from 1st May.

The CARS RUN between St. John's Place
and Victoria Gap as follows:

WEEK DAYS.

8 to 10 A.M. every quarter of an hour.

12 to 1 P.M. every quarter of an hour.

1 to 2 P.M. every half hour.

4 to 8 P.M. every quarter of an hour.

THURSDAYS.

NIGHT TRAM, at 10.30 and 11 P.M.

SUNDAYS.

CHURCH TRAM at 10.40 A.M.

12 (NOON) to 2 P.M. every quarter of an hour.

4 to 8 P.M. every quarter of an hour.

9, 10, 1